

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>
	09/911,052	HIERZER ET AL.
	<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>
	Robin A. Hylton	3781

**All Participants:**

**Status of Application:** final

(1) Robin A. Hylton. (3) Corinne Gorski (of Nixon Peabody, LLP).  
 (2) John Knoble (of Knoble Yoshida & Dunleavy, LLC). (4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 5 December 2006

**Time:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Type of Interview:**

Telephonic  
 Video Conference  
 Personal (Copy given to:  Applicant  Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:  Yes  No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

n/a

Claims discussed:

1,5-7,9,11-12, 21, and 22

Prior art documents discussed:

n/a

**Part II.**

**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

See Continuation Sheet

**Part III.**

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Proposed changes to the claims to place in the application in condition for allowance could not be authorized since the application has been transferred to a law firm not currently of record and no revocation and new power of attorney has been received by the USPTO.

**Hylton, Robin**

---

**From:** John L. Knoble [jknoble@patentwise.com]  
**Sent:** Monday, December 04, 2006 7:23 PM  
**To:** Hylton, Robin; Mr. John L. Knoble; mwebster@nixonpeabody.com  
**Subject:** Re: case CCK-0057

Dear Examiner Hylton,

We were asked to transfer this file several weeks ago to Mary Webster at the Nixon Peabody firm. We sent her our file by courier on October 13, 2006. I am sure that she will be filing a revocation/new power of attorney document in the near future, and I am copying her on this e-mail so that she can respond to your proposal.

Best Regards,

Hylton, Robin wrote:

Mr. Knoble,

I would like to make the following suggestions in the above application (09/911

- \* cancel claims 5,6,9 as they are redundant (limitations are now in amend
- \* change dependency of claim 7 to claim 1
- \* in claims 1, 11-13,21 and 22, add "at least one" with reference to the

I must move this application from my amended docket this week. Thus, I would li

Robin Hylton  
Primary Examiner, GAU 3727  
U.S. Patent and Trademark Office  
Edmund Randolph Building, Room 9A29  
401 Dulany Street, Alexandria VA 22314  
571-272-4540

--  
John L. Knoble, Esq.  
KNOBLE YOSHIDA & DUNLEAVY, LLC  
Eight Penn Center Suite 1350  
1628 John F. Kennedy Boulevard  
Philadelphia, PA 19103 USA  
Tel. (215) 599-0600  
Fax (215) 599-0601  
[www.patentwise.com](http://www.patentwise.com)

This message may contain confidential and/or legally privileged information. Improper interception of this message anywhere in the world would be punishable as a Federal Crime in the United States under the Electronic Communications Privacy Act, 18 U.S.C. §§ 2510-2521. If you have received this message in error, you are advised to immediately delete the message including any attachment(s) from your computer, your mail server and all archival media, and to promptly notify us at the address listed above so that additional corrective action may be considered. Unauthorized disclosure of confidential business information may be punishable as a Federal Crime in the United States under the Economic Espionage Act of 1996, 18 U.S.C. §§ 1831-1839.